



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TD
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,327	02/17/2004	David M. Crall	P06683US00	1120
22885	7590	03/15/2006	EXAMINER	
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			SORKIN, DAVID L	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1723		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,327	CRALL ET AL.	
	Examiner David L. Sorkin	Art Unit 1723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 4,6,7,10-13 and 16-19 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 4,6,7,13,16,17 and 19 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 10-12 and 18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 10-12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holley ('170) in view of Tschopp (US 6,270,247). Holley ('170) discloses a auger mixer comprising an elongated mixer housing (20) having a first end and a second end, the mixer housing defining a mixing chamber therein; a mixing auger (24) disposed in the mixing chamber and having a shaft (26), the shaft having a longitudinal axis extending from the first end to the second end of the housing; a power source (140) connected to the auger for rotating the auger about the longitudinal axis of the shaft; the mixer housing having a first end wall (132) adjacent the first end of the housing; a bearing assembly (130) mounting one end of the auger shaft to the first end wall of the housing for rotation relative to the first end wall about the shaft axis; a seal assembly (142) comprising a stationary seal (144 or 146) remaining stationary relative to the first end wall and a rotating seal (150) rotating about the shaft axis, the stationary seal and the rotating seal contacting one another to create a seal capable of preventing concrete mix from exiting the mixing chamber and moving toward the bearing assembly (see col. 5, lines 6-51; Fig. 14). As seen in Fig. 14, a plate attaching the bearing member to the first end wall, and corresponding securing members are also disclosed. However, a

compressible plate between the bearing plate and the end wall is not disclosed.

Tschopp ('247) teaches placing a rubber compressible plate (24) between members of a mixer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have placed a rubber compressible plate between the bearing plate and wall of Holley ('170) to achieve the benefit of improved sealing explained in col. 4, lines 10-41 of Tschopp ('247).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 6, 7, 13, 16, 17 and 19 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant argues that the compressible plate of Tschopp ('247) has a disclosed utility of sealing; however, this does not mean that it is part of a particular sealing assembly. A mixer may have more than one sealing assembly. So, even if the compressible plate of Tschopp could be considered part of a sealing assembly, this does not mean it is part of "the sealing assembly".

5. Fig. 1 of Tschopp clearly shows the compressible plate sandwiched between two other plates, both of which the compressible plate faces.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/780,327

Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

David L. Sorkin
David L. Sorkin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

DLS